10 10	
15 3	
A Grande	
-	
0	
Ō	
0	
0	
0	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	
8	

	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	IMAGES OF OURSELVES
	10	
	11	A Dialogue Between Washington and Hollywood
,	12	
	13	Paramount Studios
	14	
	15	Saturday, February 24, 1996
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	REPORTED BY:
	25	ANITA NOBLE, CSR NO. 1565

1	INDEX	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	PANELIST	PAGE
7		
8	REPRESENTATIVE JACK FIELDS	8
9		
10	EDGAR SCHERICK	18
11		
12	SENATOR SAM NUNN	29
13		
14	ROB LONG	40
15		
16	JACK MacDONOUGH	53
17		
18	KEN WALES	63
19		
20	PANEL	76
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 Sam Nunn attended Georgia Tech 2 and Emory University and Emory Law School, from which he graduated with honors. He served in 3 the United States Coast Guard. And throughout 4 5 his public life Senator Nunn has focused his efforts on strengthening America's defenses, 6 7 reducing the threat of nuclear war, restoring 8 fiscal responsibility and accountability in the 9 Federal Government and streamlining the federal 10 bureaucracy, among other things. 11 Sadly, as Edgar noted, this fine 12 leader and legislator is leaving government 13 service, but we are delighted that he is here 14 and brings his service to us. 15 SENATOR NUNN: Thank you very 16 much, Bruce, and David Horowitz, all the people 17 who sponsored this program today and those who are on the panel. I am honored to be with you. 18 19 I have a lot to learn today, and 20 I have already learned a lot; and I'm going to 21 be primarily in a listening mode. There are 22 certainly differences in Hollywood and 23 Washington. 24 I recall the expression, though, 25 that there are some similarities between

Washington and politics and show business, we in politics and show business, both have to be smart enough to understand the rules of the game, and we both have to be dumb enough to think what we're doing is important.

I think what we're doing is important. I think what we're doing in Washington is important. I think what you're doing here in Hollywood and the entire creative community is important.

And I also begin by recognizing that creativity is one of the most difficult tasks in life. Not many people are capable of creativity. In Washington, I note that on a daily basis. But creativity takes a great deal of talent.

I know that it is required not only in acting and writing but in directing and producing and also in marketing and sales and promotion in basically bringing information and entertainment to the American people and indeed to the world. There is no place in the world or in the history of the world that has the creativity that you do right here. So I congratulate you on that.

1	Before I go forward and give you
2	some views of the way things are in Washington,
3	at least from my perspective, but really it's
4	not a question of what does Washington think;
5	it's what's happening out there in the country
6	that reflects itself in Washington, because
7	Washington takes its signals from the
8	constituents all over this country, as Jack
9	knows and as others know.
10	Pat Monahan said a couple of
11	years ago asked a very profound question,
12	which, I think, is really what we're all of
13	here about today and, really, indeed is the
14	common denominator which I hope we can build on,
15	and I do hope we can build on some constructive
16	agreements as we continue this dialogue.
17	Pat Monahan asked the question,
18	"Will we be the first species that forgets how
19	to raise its young?"
20	"Will we be the first species
21	that forgets how to raise its young?"
22	This is about children, and I
23	begin with the premise that television and
24	movies but television, of course, is, in many

cases, with parents not at home, something that

people do not control; movies are a little bit

different -- but television and movies have a

very powerful effect on the American people and

on our children in particular.

A few years ago, Dr. Brandon

Centerwall of the University of Washington, a

psychiatrist -- he wasn't coming from the

religious right or from the left -- he was

doing, whether you agree with anything he said

or not -- he was coming from an analytical

psychiatric viewpoint; he wrote a long article

in the Journal of the American Medical

Association.

To give you a little bit of background about why we get into these debates about legislation regarding the Internet, why we now have legislation that's on the books and the Telecommunication Bill that Jack Fields and others did a great job with on the V-Chip, there's a background to this. It didn't come out in the last couple of years. It goes way back.

In 1992, this article was written by about 30 senators who started the effort, but about 30 senators of both parties, really about

equal in both parties -- not Liberals

or Conservatives -- but across a broad spectrum,

we wrote to each of the networks as well as the

major leaders in the cable industry, and we sent

them a copy of the Centerwall article, and we

posed certain questions about the article.

Lo and behold, after about six
months, I counted up, and we had a response from
about two out of about eight people we had
written. The others did not even respond. No
response at all: no thank you; no nothing.

So there's a background here.

Well, Dr. Centerwall basically studied the effect of television in South Africa as well as in Canada as well as in the United States, and he came to a number of conclusions, and there have been a number of critics. So I do not endorse all of the findings here.

But he came to the conclusion, even an analytical conclusion, that if television had never been invented there would every year in America be 10,000 less homocides, 70,000 fewer rapes, 700,000 fewer injuries by assault.

Now, we're not here to turn the

clock back, and I would have to say on the other side of the ledger, that I think television and communications played a major role in promoting freedom in the world.

I don't think it was a coincidence that we had a person out of the shipyard, a laborer out of the shipyard in Poland, that started a revolution there, and it spread all over just like lightning, all over Eastern Europe, and ended up dismantling the Soviet Empire and bringing freedom to people all over the world. That's a product of the information age.

that dictatorships all over the world are having a much more difficult time stiffling their people, because they have an awesome decision to make in their own approach to their own people. They really have a choice between participating in the world of information, on the one hand, and having a robust economy or stiffling information and keeping their people uninformed. They really can't have it both ways.

But back to the Centerwall article, even if you put a 90 percent discount

factor on his study and his quantified results,
he points out an irrefutable fact, in my view,
when he said that "Young babies," quote, "are
born with an instinctive capacity and desire to
imitate adult human behavior." The problem is
that children do not possess an instinct for
gauging which behavior ought to be imitated.
Now, that is the dilemma.

what we want to do in terms of absolute freedom for adults has a profound effect on children, and it's that balance that we're going to have to have a dialogue about: how do we achieve that; how do we protect children from the effect of absolute freedom for adults?

This is not simply a question for television and movies. It gets into the whole social arena: divorces, no-fault divorces, and a lot of other things that are going to be on the agenda in the future. How do we handle that? I don't really have answers today, but I can tell you where a lot of people are coming from.

If you look at the article in the Washington Post yesterday, the headlines, a boy

1 11 -- he wasn't but 10 when it happened. He is
2 is 11 when he testified -- who raped a
3 five-year-old girl, says he copied cable
4 television.

The first paragraph: "An 11-year-old and a Rundall [phon.] County boy raped a girl half his age last summer, told Montgomery County Police that he learned about having sex from the Porno Cable Channel at his parents' home." It goes on and on.

reacting to. And this is real. It's out there. It's not Washington. It's the reaction from American people all over this country that are truly frightened. They are frightened about their children, and they're wondering if they're going to pay a lot of money to get their children through a school and get them a job and then end up having to help pay for security bars for their windows and security agents all around their neighborhood to keep them from having grievous harm. This is what is out there now.

Let me be clear. The causes of violent crime are many and complex: economic and social. I think the number one cause, in my

view, is the breakup of the American family.

But it's the television and movie effect on that

society that is already in grave difficulty that

we're talking about.

There's an old saying in tort

law, and I know Bruce -- I have been away from

the law for a long time, but there is an old

saying: "You take your victim as you find him,"

meaning if you have someone out there who is

already injured, and you injure him further, you

really take them as you find them, and that's

what we're dealing with today.

Now, I know that context is important in programming. No one would dream of taking violence out of Shakespeare. That would be an absolute absurdity. But those who work in the powerful medium of television and movies must ask, I think, yourselves some very tough questions which have been delayed too long.

As Arianna Huffington said a few minutes ago, "There is a sense of urgency in our society."

Question number one: How does dramatic violence in huge doses affect children in a society which is fighting a tide of family

breakup, teenage pregnancies, school drop-outs, drug abuse, child abuse, murder, rape, and assault?

are the victims that we are dealing with out
there. Now, what is the obligation of those in
your medium, not just the parents who are
attentive enough to really implement and use a
V-Chip, but, more importantly, from my
perpective, to the millions of children who are
neglected, abandoned, have no uplifting role
models and who are being influenced heavily by
what they see on television. These are the
children that the V-Chip is not likely to affect
at all.

Will these -- will those in the creative world and those who sponsor and pay for the productions begin listening to constructive critics -- since it's such as the national television violence study conducted by four universities, which was paid for by the cable industry, incidentally. That study concluded that TV violence raises serious risks for viewers in three ways: learning to behave violently, becoming desensitized to harmful

consequences of violence, and becoming more fearful of being attacked.

Another question: will those in the creative world who reject this cable TV-sponsored study and who cite the recent UCLA report in defense of that recognize that this UCLA study also has some very interesting conclusions, one of which is that when it comes to children, there's been no real progress in curbing "violence," end quote.

And while defending network
executives and producers as individuals who care
about society, the report notes, quote, "There
is certainly something to be said for holding
their feet to the fire with an eye toward making
necessary improvements in programming. There's
a tendency in the industry to make the proper
noises and wait for the dangers to blow over."

My bottom line is that the dangers are not going to blow over for our society, and that all of us have an opportunity and responsibility to work together and to find answers to these dilemmas that will really determine the future of our children, the future of our culture, and the future of America.

1	Thank you.
2	MR. RAMER: Thank you. Thank
3	you, Sam, for your remarks in proposing those
4	very important questions to us.
5	Our next panelist, Mr. Rob Long.
6	Rob is on the staff of "Cheers," first as a
7	staff writer, then executive story editor; and
8	he finished the eleventh and final season of
9	that highly successful and entertaining show as
10	co-executive producer in 1993.
11	Since then he has created and
12	executive-produced the television series
13	"Pigsty," which appeared on UPN television
14	network, which should not be confused with the
15	feature "Babe" that I mentioned earlier.
16	He is currently excuse me
17	working on a series for CBS: "The Cube."
18	And with that Rob Long.
19	MR. LONG: How TV decisions are
20	made. It's now called "The Good Company." It
21	premieres Monday right after "Murphy Brown" on
22	CBS, March 4. So get those television cameras.
23	They changed the title on us, which they had the

right to do -- the network.

24

25

I'm really outclassed on this