Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title:	Revenue Programs Triggered a Different Levels of Aggregation: Analysis of Expected Payments, Risk Reduction and Revenue Program Election						
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	ERR						
	[X] Influential Scientific Information						
Agency:	Economic Research Service [] Highly Influential Scientific Assessment						
Agency Contact:	USDA Elise Golan, egolan@ers.usda.gov						
Subject of Review:	The Average Crop Revenue Election or ACRE program bases revenue coverage on aggregate State-level and individual farm-level revenue variability. Changing the level of aggregation to one smaller than ACRE's State level, one closer to the farm level, would generally increase expected revenue program benefits. We analyze how expected payments and risk reduction from programs triggered at alternative geopolitical levels would vary across crops—corn, soybeans, wheat, cotton, grain sorghum and rice—and across representative farm acres for each crop. We also consider how benefits from the alternative revenue programs would vary relative to benefits from other commodity programs available under the Food, Conservation and Energy Act of 2008. Our analysis is based on a simulation model of revenue variability for an extensive set of representative farms, counties, Crop Reporting Districts, States as well as the U.S. as a whole.						
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.						
Type of Review:	[] Panel Review [X] Individual Reviewers						
	[] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):						
Timing of Review (Est	.): Start: 03/21/11 End: 05/23/11 Completed: 06/30/11						
Number of Reviewers:	[] 3 or [X] 4 to 10 [] More than 10 fewer						
Primary Disciplines/Ty	pes of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists						
Reviewers selected by:	[X] Agency [] Designated Outside Organization Organization's Name:						

Opportunities for Public Comment?]	Yes	[X]	No
If yes, briefly state how and when these opportun	nities v	wil	l be provided:		
How:					
When:					
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?]	Yes	[X]	No
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?		1	Yes	[X]	No

