## **Peer Review Plan**

Preliminary Title:	Trends and Developments in Hog Manure Management: 1998-2009					
Type of Report (ERR, EIB, EB)	EIB	[X]	Influential Scien	ntific Iı	nformation	
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[ ]	Highly Influenti	al Scie	entific Assessment	
Agency Contact:	Elise Golan, egolan@ers.usda.	gov				
Subject of Review:	How hog manure is managed has important implications for water and air quality and human health. The consolidation of hog production on fewer and larger operations has meant that an increasing volume of hog manure is produced on operations with less available cropland for spreading manure. This increased concentration has magnified risks that manure nutrients will flow into ground and surface water due to the over-application of manure on crops, or due to leakage from manure storage facilities. The concentration of hogs has also lead to conflicts over odor and air quality. This study uses data from three national surveys of hog farmers to examine how hog manure management practices have evolved between 1998 and 2009, updating and expanding on an earlier ERS report that examined 1998-2004 trends. Of particular concern are the possible effects changes to the Clean Water Act, State regulations, and increasing local conflicts over air quality issues, including odor, have had on manure management decisions.					
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.					
Type of Review:	[ ] Panel	Review		[X]	Individual Review	ers
[ ] Alternative Process (Briefly Explain):						
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start: 12/15/10	End:	02/11/11	Cor	npleted: 02/1	1/11
Number of Reviewers:	[ ] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[ ]	More than 10	
Primary Disciplines/Types of Expertise Needed for Review: Economists						
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency	Organ		esigna rganiz	ted Outside ation	
Opportunities for Public Comment? [ ] Yes [X] No If yes, briefly state how and when these opportunities will be provided: How: When:						
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments? Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			Yes Yes	[X] [X]	No No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [ ] Yes [X] No						

