Peer Review Plan

riemmary Title.	Characteristics and Floduction				
		[X]		ntific Information	
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[]	Highly Influent	ial Scientific Assess	ment
Agency Contact:	Elise Golan, egolan@ers.usd	la.gov			
Subject of Review: (Preliminary abstract)	Changes in the structure and performance of hog operations have important implications for those associated with the industry. Hog producers have increasingly faced decisions about adjusting the size, organizational structure, and technological base of their operations. This report uses data from an in-depth survey of U.S. hog producers conducted for 2004 as part of USDA's annual Agricultural Resource Management Survey (ARMS). The survey collected information from a cross section of U.S. hog operations, including measures of size, production costs, business arrangements, production facilities and practices, and farm operator and financial characteristics. Surveyed farms were first divided into the types of producers common to the U.S. hog industry, and differences among the producer types were evaluated. Differences among farrow-to-finish and feeder pig-to-finish operations were then explored in-depth. Data on structural and farm characteristics and on hog production practices and costs were summarized for these producers in order to explore production cost variation, economies of size, and regional diversity in U.S. hog production.				
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.				
Type of Review:	[] Pane	el Review		[X] Individual R	Reviewers
	[] Alter	rnative Pr	rocess (Briefly E	xplain):	
Timing of Review (Est	t.): Start: 05/30/07	7 End:	08/30/07	Completed:	08/30/07
Number of Reviewers:	[] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[] More than 1	0
Primary Disciplines/Ty	ypes of Expertise Needed for l	Review:	Economists		
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency	Orgai		Designated Outside Organization	
Opportunities for Publ If yes, briefly sta How: When:	ic Comment? te how and when these opport	[] tunities w	Yes ill be provided:	[X] No	
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes	[X] No	
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel? [Yes	[X] No	

